

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/035,873	12/31/2001	Meinolf Dingenotto	F-744 C	4655	
75	08/20/2003				
LERNER AND GREENBERG, P.A.			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2480			DD 4 CAD CHANDRIKA		
HOLLYWOOD	), FL 33022-2480	•	PRASAD, CHANDRIKA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2839	_	
			DATE MAILED: 08/20/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
. Advisory Action	10/035,873	DINGENOTTO ET AL.				
see	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chandrika Prasad	2839				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 02 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which d (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ition. A proper reply to a n places the application in				
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 19 (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C  1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's	FR 1.704(b). Brief must be filed within the pe	eriod set forth in				
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF		f the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		- NOTE haland				
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>						
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. Other:		4				
		Chandrika Prasad Examiner Art Unit: 2839				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) Continuation of 2. NOTE: The addition of plurality of capacitors, plurality of signal pins, plurality of signal electrodes, etc. introduce new issues requiring further consideration/search. Furthermore, the arguments are not persuasive and not supported by claim language. The independent claims 1 and 6 recite "a second of said side surfaces bearing said signal electrodes to the signal pins", which is not clear. What does it mean?...